Certificate of Electronic Submission			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being			
submitted electronically to the U.S. Patent			
and Trademark Office on September 27, 2006			
(Date of Submission)			
FRANK C. NICHOLAS (33,983)			
Name of applicant, assignee or registered representative			
/FRANK C. NICHOLAS/			
Signature			
-			
September 27, 2006			
Date of Signature			

PATENT Case No. 7780/16 (P-00303)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of:	)
RODNEY E. NORWOOD	) Examiner: Green, Christy Marie
Serial No.: 10/005,391	)
Filed: DECEMBER 5, 2001	) Group Art Unit: 3635
Title: MOBILE CELLULAR TELEPHONE TOWER	) )

## $\frac{\text{PETITION TO RULE ON PETITION TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF}}{\text{ABANDONMENT}}$

Office of Petitions Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22202-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant petitions that the Office issue a ruling on Applicant's petition for a ruling that this case is not in fact abandoned.

September 27, 2006

Case No.: 7780/16 (P-00303)

Serial No.: 10/005,391 Page 2 of 2

Despite previously filing a petition, the Office has yet to issue a ruling, more

than six months after filing.

The PTO issued a notice of abandonment dated November 29, 2005 indicating

that Applicant did not timely pay the publication fee. However, Applicant did, in fact

timely pay the publication fee.

Attached hereto is a copy (Exhibit A) of the transmittal form filed with the issue

fee payment of September 27, 2005 indicating that the Commissioner was authorized to

charge any fees which may be required to deposit account 50-1713. A duplicate copy of

the sheet was also filed. A copy of the OIPE stamped transmittal form is also attached

as Exhibit B, and the complete package filed on September 27, 2005 (including the 5

pages faxed to the PTO with the auto-reply facsimile transmission indicating 5 pages

received) is attached as Exhibit C.

Therefore, Applicant did in fact timely authorize the Commissioner to charge the

publication fee at the same time as the issue fee. Thus, the holding of abandonment is a

PTO mistake.

Applicant requests a holding that this case is not abandoned, and has never been

abandoned. Applicant requests that the case then proceed to issuance with all due haste.

Dated: September 27, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

/FRANK C. NICHOLAS/

CARDINAL LAW GROUP

**Suite 2000** 

1603 Orrington Avenue Evanston, Illinois 60201

Phone: (847) 905-7111 Fax:

(847) 905-7113

Registration No. 33,983

Attorney for Applicant

Frank C. Nicholas